

## Nine Mile Creek Discovery Point 12800 Gerard Drive Eden Prairie, MN 55346

(952) 835-2078

ninemilecreek.org

**MEMO** 

TO: Nine Mile Creek Board of Managers

FROM: Erica Sniegowski, Program and Project Manager

**Lauren Foley, Permit and Water Resources Coordinator** 

DATE: December 11, 2019

RE: Cost Share Program Updates Discussion

### **Background**

At the November (2019) board meeting, NMCWD staff presented a proposed priority ranking system for the individual reviewer portion for cost share grant applications. We requested that the board engage in a discussion of the proposed priority ranking system at the December (2019) board meeting. Having the priority ranking system ready to use on 2020 cost share grant applications is our goal. Additionally, staff requested a discussion on forming a sub-committee of two board members, CAC members, and staff to discuss whether the District should have restoration grants as a separate grant track and how this would be implemented. We propose this sub-committee meet as-needed over the 2020 calendar year.

### Request

Staff requests the Managers share their thoughts on the draft Cost Share Grant Evaluation Worksheet and decide on a set of priority ranking to be used when reviewing the 2020 cost share grant applications. Staff also requests feedback on the proposed sub-committee to address restoration projects in the cost share program.

#### Attachment

 Proposed Cost Share Grant Evaluation Worksheet, which includes priority rankings



Nine Mile Creek Discovery Point 12800 Gerard Drive Eden Prairie, MN 55346

(952) 835-2078

ninemilecreek.org

## **COST SHARE GRANT EVALUATION WORKSHEET**

### **Purpose and Instructions**

*Purpose:* This document provides uniform criteria for grant review and a record of individual reviews. Late applications are not accepted and will not be passed on to the review panel.

*Instructions for reviewer:* Fill in all applicable yellow fields for the grant application you are reviewing. Scores and notes will be used in the group review panel.

## **Application Information**

Name of App	licant or Organization	n:				
Address and	City:					
Project Cost: \$			Amount R	Requested:	\$	
Project Typ	e					
Circle one:	Residential Tow	<mark>vnhome/Condo/Lak</mark>	e Association	on City/	/Commercial,	/Nonprofit
Circle one:	Raingarden/Swale	Pervious Paver	Chloride	Restorat	tion Buffe	<mark>r Other</mark>
Fligibility D	re-Screening					
•		stions completed?				Ves/No
Are all projec	t forms completed	?				Yes/No
, a c an projec		ove questions answe				<mark>103/110</mark>
	,,, .,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, p		
Project Qua	ality Part 1					
-	Rate all questions of	on a 0-2 scale.				
How satisfactory is the level of detail in the project application?						
		, 2 is Very Satisfacto	•			_
How well does the project use/build partnerships or provide educational value?						
	Low, 2 is High					
		the project provide	for water of	or ecologic	cal stewardsh	nip, the
	ct, or the cost share	e program?				
	ow, 2 is High				l.a	
<ul> <li>How reasonable is the timeline and budget for the proposed work?</li> <li>*0 is Unreasonable, 2 is Very Reasonable</li> </ul>						
· U IS C	Jili edsoriable, 2 IS V	very Keasonable				
Ave	erage score for Part	: 1 (sum of assigned	points/4)		/4 =	=

# Project Quality Part 2: Complete the table for the applicable project type.

Shoreline Buffer

Project Type	Answer / Point value	Point Value Assigned
Does the project buffer a	No / 0 points	
wetland, lake, or Nine Mile	Yes / 1 point	
Creek?		
Average Buffer Width (outward	0-4.9 feet / 0 points	
from water) for <u>residential</u>	5-9.9 feet / 1 point	
<u>projects</u>	10-16.4 feet / 2 points	
	16.5+ feet / 3 points	
Average Buffer Width (outward	0-16.4 feet / 0 points	
from water) for other projects:	16.5-29.9 feet / 1 point	
association, nonprofit,	30-49.9 feet / 2 points	
business, city projects	50+ feet / 3 points	
Averag	e score for table (sum of assigned points/2)	/2 =

### Raingarden

Question	Answer / Point value	Point Value Assigned
Does the project capture water that would otherwise drain to a water resource via overland flow or a storm drain?	No / 0 points Yes / 1 point	
What is the source of runoff water?	Roof and/or green space / 0 points Roof or green space <u>and</u> impervious surfaces / 1 Impervious surfaces only / 2	
What amount of water is rain garden capturing?	>0-0.33 inch/ 0 points 0.34-0.66 inches / 1 point 0.67-0.99 inches / 2 points 1+ inches / 3 points	
Averag	/3 =	

## Restoration

Question	Answer / Point value	Point Value Assigned
Does the project border a wetland,	No / 0 points	
lake, or Nine Mile Creek?	Yes / 1 point	
What is the percent property area	0-5% / 0 points	
restored?	6-20% / 1 point	
	21-34% / 2 points	
	35%+ / 3 points	
Residential Projects:	0-0.02 acres / 0 points	
What is the size of the restoration?	>0.02-0.5 acres / 1 point	
	0.5-1 acres / 2 points	
	1+ acres / 3 points	
All Other Projects (association,	0-0.1 acres / 0 points	
nonprofit, business, city):	0.11-1 acres / 1 point	
What is the size of the restoration?	1-3 acres / 2 points	
	3+ acres / 3 points	
Average sco	/3 =	

### Pervious Pavers/Pavement

Question	Answer / Point Value	Point Value Assigned
What size rainfall runoff	>0-0.33 inch/ 0 points	
will the practice reduce	0.34-0.66 inches / 1 point	
from the property?	0.67-0.99 inches / 2 points	
	1+ inches / 3 points	
What is the source of	Roof and/or green space / 0 points	
runoff water?	Roof or green space and impervious surfaces / 1	
	Impervious surfaces only / 2	
	Average score for table (sum of assigned points/2)	/2 =

### Chloride Reduction

Criteria	Answer / Point Value	Point Value Assigned
Anticipated % decrease of	0-5% / points	
chloride	>5-10% / 1 point	
	>10% / 2 points	
What % of the chloride reduction	0-10% / 0 points	
will be in NMCWD?	11-50% / 1 point	
	51-100% / 2 points	
Averag	/2 =	

## **Score Summary**

Section	Section Point Subtotal
Project Quality Part 1 (Average score)	
Project Quality Part 2 (Average score)	
	Total:

# **Preparation for Review Panel**

On the following page, record any questions, concerns, or recommendations you would like to discuss during the group review panel. <u>Please comment</u> on the applicant's level of understanding of maintenance and willingness to undertake needed maintenance on the project.

Review panel discussion items:			